

12



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,703	09/29/2000	Ilya A. Korisch	4142-4007	1553

7590 03/05/2003

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
345 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10154

EXAMINER

MILLER, BRANDON J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/676,703

Applicant(s)

KORISCH ET AL.

Examiner

Brandon J Miller

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/27/02.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 14, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu.

Regarding claim 1 Hsu teaches an apparatus having an RF circuitry portion (see abstract, col. 1, lines 66-67 and col. 2, lines 1-5). Hsu also teaches an antenna creating an electromagnetic field and an active shield substantially canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 2 Hsu teaches an active shield that is coupled to an RF circuitry portion of a device (see col. 2, lines 50-67).

Regarding claim 14 Hsu teaches an apparatus having an RF circuitry portion (see abstract and FIG. 2). Hsu also teaches an antenna creating an electromagnetic field and a plurality of active shields substantially canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 19 Hsu teaches an antenna creating an electromagnetic field and canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 20 Hsu teaches generating an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region and canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region using an active shield (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 21 Hsu teaches coupling an RF circuitry portion to an active shield (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 24 Hsu teaches generating an electromagnetic field from an antenna (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2). Hsu also teaches canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region using a plurality of active shields (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 25 Hsu teaches generating an electromagnetic field from an antenna (see abstract and FIG. 2). Rinot also teaches canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region using an active shield (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 26 Hsu teaches coupling an RF circuitry portion to an active shield (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 29 Hsu teaches generating an electromagnetic field from an antenna (see abstract and FIG. 2). Rinot also teaches canceling the effects of an electromagnetic field in a predetermined region using a plurality of active shields (see col. 2, lines 50-67 and FIG. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2683

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 15, 16, 17, 22, 23, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in view of Shattil.

Regarding claim 3 Hsu teaches a device as recited in claim 1 except for an adjustment circuit located between an antenna and an RF circuitry portion. Shattil teaches an adjustment circuit for adjusting the phase of a signal (see col. 4, lines 53-60 and col. 5, lines 8-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Hsu adapt to include an adjustment circuit located between an antenna and an RF circuitry portion because this would allow for a variable phase shifter circuit that controls the phase of a received signal from an antenna.

Regarding claim 4 Shattil teaches a coupler located between RF circuitry and active shield (see col. 31, lines 66-67).

Regarding claim 5 Shattil teaches a coupler located between RF circuitry and adjustment circuit (see col. 31, lines 66-67 and col. 32, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 8 Shattil teaches a circuit that includes a phase shifter (see col. 7, line 11).

Regarding claim 9 Shattil teaches a circuit that includes a variable gain amplifier (see col. 14, lines 8-11).

Regarding claim 11 Shattil a sensor located in proximity to an active shield (see col. 5, lines 8-9 and col. 27, lines 53-65).

Regarding claim 12 Shattil teaches a control circuit (see col. 24, lines 44-46).

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 15 Hsu teaches a device as recited in claim 1 except for a plurality of adjustment circuits located between an RF circuitry portion and a plurality of active shields. Shattil teaches a plurality of adjustment circuit located between an RF circuitry portion and active shields (see col. 9, lines 33-35, col. 31, lines 66-67 and col. 32, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Hsu adapt to include an adjustment circuit located between an antenna and an RF circuitry portion because this would allow for a variable phase shifter circuit that controls the phase of a received signal from an antenna.

Regarding claim 16 Shattil teaches an adjustment circuit that includes a phase shifter and a variable gain amplifier (see col. 7, line 11 and col. 14, lines 8-11).

Regarding claim 17 Shattil teaches a control circuit (see col. 24, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 22 Hsu teaches a device as recited in claim 20 except for phase shifting and amplifying a signal from an antenna before a signal reaches an active shield. Shattil teaches an active shield (see col. 5, lines 7-10). Shattil teaches phase shifting and amplifying a signal from an antenna (see col. 4, lines 53-60 and col. 5, lines 8-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Hsu adapt to include phase shifting and amplifying a signal from an antenna before a signal reaches an active shield because this would allow for a variable phase shifter circuit that controls the phase of a received signal from an antenna.

Regarding claim 23 Hsu and Shattil teach a device as recited in claim 22 except for feeding back from a sensor located in proximity to an active shield a signal which is used to vary the phase shifting and amplifying. Shattil teaches feeding back from a sensor located in

Art Unit: 2683

proximity to a cancellation circuit a signal which is used to vary the phase shifting and amplifying (see col. 27, lines 53-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include feeding back from a sensor located in proximity to an active shield a signal which is used to vary the phase shifting and amplifying because this would allow for a variable phase shifter and amplifier circuit that controls the phase of a received signal from an antenna.

Regarding claim 27 Hsu and Shattil teach a device as recited in claim 22 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 28 Hsu and Shattil teach a device as recited in claim 23 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in view of Shattil and Rinot.

Regarding claim 18 Hsu and Shattil teach a device as recited in claim 15 except for a number of active shields that is approximately four. Rinot teaches a number of active shields that is approximately four (see col. 4, lines 25-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Hsu and Shattil adapt to include a number of active shields that is approximately four because this would allow for an electromagnetic protection device effective for isolating electromagnetic radiation of a mobile phone.

Claims 6, 7, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in view of Shattil and Wilson.

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 6 Hsu and Shattil teach a device as recited in claim 3 except for an adjustment circuit receiving a reduced antenna signal. Shattil does teach an adjustment circuit (see col. 14, lines 4-8). Wilson teaches receiving a reduced antenna signal (see col. 3, lines 36-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Hsu and Shattil adapt to include an adjustment circuit receiving a reduced antenna signal because this would allow for a device that provides an attenuating effect to radiation from a mobile communication device.

Regarding claim 7 Hsu, Shattil and Willson teach a device as recited in claim 6 except for a reduced antenna signal that is approximately 10 % of an antenna signal. Wilson further teaches reducing an antenna signal (see col. 1, lines 30-33). Although Wilson fails to disclose an antenna signal reduced by approximately 10% it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include a reduced antenna signal that is approximately 10 % of an antenna signal because this would allow for a device that provides an attenuating effect to radiation from a mobile communication device.

Regarding claim 10 Wilson teaches a circuit that includes an attenuator (see col. 1, lines 30-32).

Regarding claim 13 Wilson teaches a predetermined region that is near an operator's earpiece (see col. 3, lines 55-57).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wong U.S. Patent Application 6,341,217 discloses a portable telephone with shielded transmission antenna.

Spann U.S. Patent Application 5,819,162 discloses an electro-magnetic interference shield for a telephone handset.


Liu U.S. Patent Application 6,359,216 discloses an electromagnetic wave shield pad for mobile phone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J Miller whose telephone number is 703-305-4222. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

February 26, 2003


WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600